## COST REVIEW TEMPLATE FOR PROJECT OFFICERS – PROJECT GRANTS AND ALL OTHER GRANTS SUBJECT TO THIS COST REVIEW

(Electronically attach the completed form in IGMS, then print and retain a copy in the grant file. Include comments at the end of the cost review as necessary.)

, U		Alturas Rancheria	Applicant:	00T12801-1	Application/Grant Number:
Drainet Officer: Tim Wilhite Date Application Circulation 07/05/2014	7	10/01/2013 - 09/30/2017	Budget Period:	10/01/2013 - 09/30/2017	Project Period:
Project Officer:   Tim Wilnite   Date Application Signed:   ~ 07/05/2014		~ 07/05/2014	Date Application Signed:	Tim Wilhite	Project Officer:

Application is for: new funding Other: Basis for Cost Determination: Application (check all applicable boxes) ☐ Experience with applicant/project Experience with similar projects **Guidance: Personnel** Personnel A. Is the applicant proposing personnel as a direct cost under the Yes ⊠ No □ This category includes only direct costs for the salaries of those individuals who will perform work directly for the application? If NO, go to Travel. project (generally, paid wage grade employees of the applicant organization). Personnel costs do not include: Yes ⊠ No □ B. Are proposed personnel costs eligible and allowable for funding (and cost sharing and/or matching if applicable) as direct costs under applicable (1) costs for services of consultants, contractors, consortia members, or other partner organizations. program guidance and program regulations? which are included in the "Contractual" category; (2) costs for employees of subrecipients under subawards, Yes ⊠ No □ C. Are the proposed personnel costs (labor categories and salary ranges, which are included in the "Other" category; or (3) effort skill mix, and their level of effort) reasonable and necessary to achieve that is not directly in support of the proposed project, project objectives? which may be covered by the organization's negotiated Yes ⊠ No □ indirect cost rate. D. Are job titles/categories/labor rates consistent with the proposed function under the project? (E.g., if the CEO will be performing engineering work, the proposed compensation or valuation of contributed services should be The applicant's budget detail must identify the personnel category type by FTE, including percentage of FTE for appropriate for an engineer rather than a CEO.) part-time employees, number of personnel proposed for each category, and the estimated funding amounts. For Yes ⊠ No □ E. Are the proposed personnel costs consistent with the budget and project example, 4 engineers @ \$125,000; 2 scientists @ periods? \$75,000, 1 Manager @ \$200,000, etc. F1. Total requested personnel costs: \$59,000 F2. Total approved personnel costs: The Bureau of Labor Statistics web site at \$59,000 http://data.bls.gov/PDQ/outside.jsp?survey=nc may assist determining reasonability. Basis for Cost Determination: Personnel (check all applicable boxes) Publicly available information (e.g., on salary Prudent person test (i.e., it does not exceed an amount that Other: ranges, job classifications) - Source: any reasonable person would incur under similar circumstances) **Guidance: Travel** Travel Yes ⊠ No □ Travel may be integral to the purpose of the proposed A. Is the applicant proposing travel as a direct cost under the application? project (e.g., inspections) or related to proposed project If NO, go to Equipment. activities (e.g., attendance at meetings). Applicants must provide a narrative description of the types/purposes of Yes ⊠ No □

travel, estimated number of trips, planned destinations, and estimated number of travelers.	B. Are proposed travel costs eligible and allowable for funding (and cost sharing and/or matching if applicable) as direct costs under applicable program guidance and program regulations?	Yes ⊠ No □
The FedTravel website at <a href="http://www.fedtravel.com/">http://www.fedtravel.com/</a> may assist determining reasonability.	C. Overall and by purpose of travel, is the proposed travel reasonable and	
may assist determining reasonability.	necessary to achieve project objectives?	\$4,375
		\$4,375
	D1. Total requested travel costs: D2. Total approved travel costs:	ψ1,570
Basis for Cost Determination: Travel (check all applical		
Knowledge of the training, meetings, or other purpose		
Guidance: Equipment	Equipment	
This category includes only equipment proposed to be purchased as a direct cost. Equipment is defined as tangible, non-expendable, personal property having a	A. Is the applicant proposing the purchase of equipment as a direct cost under the application? <i>If NO, go to Supplies.</i>	Yes □ No ⊠
useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more per unit although a lower dollar amount threshold can be established by the applicant. Equipment also includes accessories necessary to	B. Are proposed equipment costs eligible and allowable for funding (and cost sharing and/or matching if applicable) as direct costs under applicable program guidance and program regulations?	Yes No No
make the equipment operational. It does not include: (1) equipment planned to be leased/rented, including lease/purchase agreements; or (2) equipment service or	C. Is each item of equipment proposed for purchase necessary to achieve project objectives?	Yes No No
maintenance contracts. These types of proposed costs should be included in the "Other" category.	D. Were options other than purchase for each item of equipment considered, such as leasing, renting, or loan of government property? (Please complete the "Justification for Equipment Purchase" below to explain why	Yes No No
The budget narrative must include an itemized listing of all equipment proposed under the agreement.	equipment purchase was chosen.)	
Non-State applicants must conduct a price-cost analysis for equipment purchases as required under 40 CFR	E. Is the proposed cost of each item of equipment reasonable and is the category total reasonable in relation to the overall project budget?	Yes No No
31.36 (States, Local Governments, and Indian Tribal Governments) or 40 CFR 30.45 (Non-Profits and Institutions of Higher Education).	F. For non-State applicants, if sole-source procurements are indicated, has the applicant been informed of sole-source and cost-price analysis requirements?	Yes No No N/A
If non-competitive (e.g., sole-source) procurement is proposed by locality or tribal applicants for any amount, or by institutions of higher education, hospitals, or non-profits in excess of \$100,000, the PO may require the applicant to prepare a technical justification for the non-competitive procurement. State applicants need not provide a justification for non-competitive equipment purchases.	G1. Total requested equipment costs: G2. Total approved equipment costs:	\$ \$
Basis for Cost Determination: Equipment (check all ap	plicable boxes)	

Knowledge of the type of equipment (e.g., companies sold, etc.) (including similar equipment purchased by	other recipients) Source:	nt person test
Consistency with recipient's procurement system (if S Justification for Equipment Purchase (check all applications)		
Equipment has long-term value to the recipient's env		ment
Equipment will serve an ongoing need of the project	Leasing will result in increased costs to the prosupported by market research)	
Guidance: Supplies	Supplies	
Supplies are tangible personal property other than equipment. Any single item valued at \$5,000 or more in this category should be moved to the "Equipment" category. Non-tangible goods and services associated with supplies, such as printing services, photocopy services, and rental costs should be included in the "Other" category.  The applicant's narrative must include a brief description	<ul> <li>A. Is the applicant proposing supplies as a direct cost under the application? <i>If NO, go to Contractual/Consultant Services.</i></li> <li>B. Are proposed supply costs eligible and allowable for funding (and cost sharing and/or matching if applicable) as direct costs under applicable program guidance and program regulations?</li> <li>C. Overall and by category/type of supply, are the proposed supply costs reasonable and necessary to achieve project objectives?</li> </ul>	Yes ⊠ No □  Yes ⊠ No □  Yes ⊠ No □
of the supplies required to perform the work. These costs should be categorized by major supply categories and include the estimated costs by category. For example, office supplies, computer supplies, and monitoring supplies.	D1. Total requested supply costs: D2. Total approved supply costs:	\$1,750 \$1,750
Basis for Cost Determination: Supplies (check all appli	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Market research/market value - Source:	☐ Prudent person test ☐ Other	•
Guidance: Contractual/Consultant Services	Contractual/Consultant Services	
Contractual/consultant services are those services to be carried out by an individual or organization other than the applicant in the form of a procurement relationship.	A. Is the applicant proposing to acquire contractual services as a direct cost under the application? If NO, go to Other Direct Costs.	Yes ⊠ No □
The applicant should list the proposed contract activities along with a brief description of the scope of work or services to be provided, proposed duration, and	B. Are proposed contractual/consultant costs eligible and allowable for funding (and cost sharing and/or matching if applicable) as direct costs under applicable program guidance and program regulations?	Yes ⊠ No □
proposed procurement method (competitive or non-competitive), if known.	C. Are the proposed services necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope of work?	Yes ⊠ No □
Non-State applicants must conduct a price-cost analysis for all procurement as required under 40 CFR 31.36 (States, Local Governments, and Indian Tribal	D. If stated in the application, are the durations of proposed contracts consistent with the budget and project periods?	Yes No No N/A
Governments) or 40 CFR 30.45 (Non-Profits and Institutions of Higher Education).	E. For non-State applicants, if sole-source procurements are indicated, has the applicant been informed of sole-source and cost-price analysis	Yes No N/A
If non-competitive (e.g., sole-source) contracting is	requirements?	

profits in excess of \$100,000, the PO may require the applicant to prepare a technical justification for the non-competitive procurement. State applicants need not provide a justification for non-competitive procurement.		\$1,500
<b>Basis for Cost Determination: Contractual/Consultant</b>	Services (check all applicable boxes)	
<ul><li>Knowledge of the services to be provided</li><li>Consistency with recipient's procurement system (if \$\frac{1}{2}\$</li></ul>	Additional research - Specify:  Other:	
Guidance: Other Direct Costs	Other Direct Costs	
Other: This category should include only those types of direct costs that do not fit in any of the other budget categories. Examples of costs that may be in this category are: insurance and indemnification, rental/lease of equipment or supplies, equipment service	<ul> <li>A. Is the applicant proposing any other types of costs as direct costs under the application? <i>If NO, go to Questioned Costs</i>.</li> <li>B. Are the specific costs/activities eligible and allowable for funding (and cost sharing and/or matching if applicable) as direct costs under applicable</li> </ul>	Yes  No  Yes  No
or maintenance contracts, printing or photocopying, and subaward costs.	program guidance and program regulations?  C. Taking into account each different type of cost proposed, are the costs reasonable and necessary to achieve project objectives?	Yes No No
Subawards: Subawards (e.g., subgrants) are a distinct	D. Does this award include any subaward work?	Yes No No
type of cost under this category. The term "subaward" means an award of financial assistance (money or property) by any legal agreement made by the recipient to an eligible subrecipient. This term does not include	If NO, go to Questioned Costs.  D.1 Are there any programmatic eligibility restrictions on who may perform proposed subaward work?	Yes No No
procurement purchases, technical assistance in the form of services instead of money, or other assistance in the form of revenue sharing, loans, loan guarantees, interest subsidies, insurance, or direct appropriations.	D.2 Is the proposed subaward work for authorized assistance purposes (i.e., not to acquire goods or services for use by the applicant)?	Yes No No
Subcontracts are not subawards and belong in the contractual category.	D.3 Is the proposed subaward work necessary to achieve project objectives?	Yes No No
Applicants must provide the aggregate amount they propose to issue as subaward work and a description of the types of activities to be supported.	E1. Total requested other (including subaward) costs: E2. Total approved other (including subaward) costs:	\$0 \$0
Questioned Costs		
Did this cost review analysis result in questioned costs the provide a brief narrative on the questioned costs and the	at required the applicant to submit a revised budget sheet? (If YES, please resolution of the questioned costs.)	Yes □ No ⊠
Cost Review Analysis Summary		
The cost review analysis of the proposed applicant bu	udget was conducted in accordance with applicable program guidance and regula udgment of the undersigned reviewer after analysis of the grant application and a etc.).	

Project Officer Name: Tim Wilhite	Project Officer Signature: signed	Date: 07/16/2014